UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,333                                  | 02/13/2006                        | Carl A Pickering     | 81136089            | 7603             |
|   | 7590 07/21/200<br>L TECHNOLOGIES, | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| FAIRLANE PLAZA SOUTH, SUITE 800             |                                   |                      | MULLEN, THOMAS J    |                  |
| 330 TOWN CENTER DRIVE<br>DEARBORN, MI 48126 |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                   |                      | 2612                |                  |
|   |                                   |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                   |                      | 07/21/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)               |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/568,333  | PICKERING ET AL.           |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thomas J. Mullen, Jr.   | 2612                       |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address      |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <i>;</i> —   | , <del></del>   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  | x parto Quayro, 1000 0. <b>5</b> . 11, 10   | .0.0.210.                  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-34</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-14,16-20,22-25 and 27-33</u> is/are allowed.  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 34</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 21 and 26 is/are objected to.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2006</u> is/are∶ a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).               |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received.   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   | on No                      |  |  |  |  |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | • •   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | d III tilis National Stage |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                     |                            |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Page 2

Errors noted by the Examiner include:

page 5, line 18, at the end of the line one of the colon and the dash should be deleted; page 22, line 13, it appears that "effect" should be --affect--; and

page 24, lines 3-9, where a single letter represents an equation parameter and that parameter is mentioned in a sentence or paragraph (as is the case with "y" and "a" in this portion of page 24), each occurrence of such single-letter parameter(s) should be distinguished from other single-letter usage (e.g., where "a" is intended to be a word) by presenting the single-letter parameter in italics (e.g. a), between quotation marks (e.g. "a"), etc., in order to avoid confusion about whether a word or a parameter is intended--note, e.g., the phrase "increasing the value of a with the size..." on line 7, which appears to be grammatically incorrect.

2. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 1, a lead line is needed for reference numeral "34" (see the middle of the figure), per 37 CFR 1.84(q).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Claims 21 and 26 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21, line 1, between "according" and "claim" should be inserted --to--.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 34, the recitation that the control input (e.g. disclosed elements 14,16,18) includes "a screen comprising a conductive layer which forms the primary receiver" does not appear to be adequately taught in the disclosure. Other than where the language of the claim is repeated verbatim at page 4, lines 22-24 (i.e., in the "summary" portion of the description), there appears to be no explanation as to which disclosed element defines, or includes, a "conductive layer" that may be characterized as at least part of a "screen" and also serves as the "primary receiver" (note in Fig. 1, "primary receiver antenna 22 in the form of a wire"--page 6, line 18); nor does there appear to be any mention that such a "layer" or "screen" may serve as an alternate embodiment to one of the embodiments (or elements) that are shown and described.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 depends from a canceled claim (i.e. claim 9); thus, the scope of this claim cannot be determined.

Art Unit: 2612

8. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-25, 27-33 are allowed.

Claims 15, 21, 26 and 34 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, and/or the objection(s) under 37 CFR 1.75(a), set forth in this Office action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The art cited by applicant has been considered. Stanley et al (US 2003/0009273) and Brun del Re et al (US 2004/73104) are U.S. equivalents of foreign documents which were cited in the Search Report for related application PCT/GB2004/003420. Beuk et al (US 5923267) and Higuchi et al (US 5784036) were discussed by applicant in the specification. Baba et al (US 2002/38947) is cited to further show the state of the art.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Mullen, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-2965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thomas J. Mullen, Jr./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612